

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

262222

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

08 JUN 00

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

**SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.**

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

**SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE**  
**ATTENTION**    ☐ **ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS**  
                       ☒ **ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION**

FROM INVESTIGATOR'S NAME										RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
McDermott, Thomas B.										SGT	834	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	007
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT						DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*					
68 [REDACTED] So. Ada						10 MAY 00 - 2200 hrs.		0724	19					
NAME						RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.				
1. TAYLOR, Cedric F.						P.O.	18426	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	007				
2. NOSEK, Jeffery J.						P.O.	13533	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	007				
SEX/RACE D.O.B.		DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN		PHYS. COND. CODE†						
1. M 1 [REDACTED] 64		17 MAY 93		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY				01						
2. M 2 [REDACTED] 69		02 DEC 91		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN		01						
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED				CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE							
1.														
2.														
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†						
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/1	[REDACTED] /8/	01						
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†						
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/1	[REDACTED] /13	01						

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

The complainant alleged that the above accused officers entered her residence without a warrant or permission.

## ALLEGATIONS

**L.A.D. LOCATION CODES\***

01 Food Sales/Restaurant  
02 Tavern/Liquor Store  
03 Other Business Establishment  
04 Police Building  
05 Lockup Facility  
06 Police Maintenance Facility  
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility  
08 Other Police Property  
09 Police Communications System  
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility  
12 Park District Property  
13 Airport  
14 Public Property - Other  
15 Other Private Premise  
16 Expressway/Interstate System  
17 Public Way - Other  
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District  
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01	No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02	No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03	Injured, Not Hospitalized
04	Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05	Injured, Hospitalized
06	Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07	Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08	Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09	Deceased
10	Deceased - Under Influence

10 Court Room

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

C.R. NO.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SEE CONTINUATION SHEET.

ATTACH-  
MENTS


INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
#2	#6,10,14,18,19	none	22

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS


FINDINGS: NOT SUSTAINED on all accused officers

RECOMMENDATION: No disciplinary action is warranted

REVIEWED BY   
DATE: AUG 08 2000

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	19 May 00	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	08 JUN 00	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	20
--	-----------	--	-----------	--	----

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IN IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF W  TEM.

**ADDITIONAL ACCUSED (CONTINUED)**

NAME			RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSN.
Kovac, Andrew			P.O.	19111			007
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		SWORN/CIVILIAN	PHY. COND.
M/2	71	28 SEP 98		on-duty		sworn	01
NAME			RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSN.
Cunningham, Aaron			P.O.	15522			007
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		SWORN/CIVILIAN	PHY. COND.
M/2	67	29 JUN 98		on-duty		sworn	01

C.R. No. 262222  
ADDITIONAL ACCUSED

## COMPLAINT REGISTER NUMBER 262222

### EVIDENCE LIST

<u>Attachment Description</u>	<u>Attachment No.</u>
Complaint Against Department Member .....	1
C.R. Initiation Report of Sgt. John Brundage #1697 (10 May 00) .....	2
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Taylor #18426 .....	3
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Taylor #18426 .....	4
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Taylor #18426 .....	5
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Taylor #18426 .....	6
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Nosek #13533 .....	7
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Nosek #13533 .....	8
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Nosek #13533 .....	9
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Nosek #13533 .....	10
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Kovac #19111 .....	11
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Kovac #19111 .....	12
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Kovac #19111 .....	13
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Kovac #19111 .....	14
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Cunningham #15522 .....	15
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Cunningham #15522 .....	16
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Cunningham #15522 .....	17
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Cunningham #15522 .....	18
To-From Subject Report - Attempts to Interview Complainant .....	19
Letter to Complainant (copy) dated 19 MAY 00 .....	20
Domestic Return Receipt (copy) .....	21
General Offense Case Report (RD # [REDACTED]) .....	22

## CONTINUATION SHEET

**SUMMARY:** The Complaint Against Department Member and the Initiation Report allege that the accused officers entered the complainant's residence without warrant or permission. Reporting Sergeant obtained To-From reports from the accused officers.(attachments 6,10,14,18) Officers Taylor, Nosek, Cunningham deny the allegation and in fact state that they were admitted to the residence by the complainant. The accused officers state in their reports that they stated that they were looking for the [REDACTED] in regards to a shooting that occurred. The complainant stated that [REDACTED] was not home, at which time the officers left the residence. Officer Kovac related in his To-From report that he never entered the residence. It should also be noted that in the Initiation Report of Sgt. Brundage, the complainant alleged that a bedroom door was kicked in. However, Sgt. Brundage noted that he observed all the interior and exterior doors of the residence and found no damage. (attachment #2B)

Reporting Sergeant telephoned the complainant on 19 May 00 and 28 May 00 and received no answer. Reporting Sergeant sent a certified letter to the complainant's address on 19 May 00. (Attachments #20,21) Reporting Sergeant contacted the CPD mail room and learned that the letter was sent out on 22 May 00 under article [REDACTED]. To date, reporting Sergeant has been unable to interview the complainant. (Attachment #19).

Due to the fact that the complainant has not been interviewed and is apparently uncooperative in this investigation, reporting Sergeant can neither prove or disprove the allegation. The allegation made on the accused officers is therefore NOT SUSTAINED.